Review of the Roque Mesquita's "Madhva's Unknown Literary Sources" Part II: Pancaraatra Texts and Madhvacharya

PROF. VEERANARAYANA PANDURANGI¹ PROF. SHRINIVASA VARAKHEDI²

Roque Mesquita of the University of Vienna has recently launched a new front in the war against the Dvaitavedanta. In "Madhva's Unknown Literary Sources: some observations"³ He wants to prove that most of the sources quoted by Madhvacharya in his works are truly composed by Madhvacharya himself as they were/are nowhere and in no time available to other people. In this small paper I would like to examine his claims on Pancaraatrasmhitas and expose some of the truth, because it is beyond the scope of this work to analyze his full paper.

Preamble

To the students and scholars of Maadhva Vedaanta, it is indeed well known that the major difficulty lies with tracing of the resources of Madhvacarya's quotations cited in his सूत्रभाष्य and other works.

For three centuries after Madhvacarya, none is recorded to have made such objections against Madhvacarya. The sources which Madhvacarya quotes from, were not unknown to highly reputed contemporary scholars, viz., Shobhana Bhatta (later known as Padmanabha Tirtha), Trivikrama Pandita and others, both who were later admitted into Madhvacarya's school of thought after thorough debates that lasted many days. Hence it should be admitted that those sources were accessible or at least known to the early disciples of Madhvacarya like Trivikrama Pandita⁴. Madhvavijaya (12.42, 14.2) biography of Madhvacarya by Narayana Pandita, mentions an attempt to steal and subsequent recovery of these works. However these works ceased to exist in the tradition of studies for unknown reason. It is recorded in Sampradaayapaddhati of Hrsikesa Tirtha, one of the immediate disciples of Madhvacarya, that Madhvacarya himself made all of his sources buried in the form of copper plates, in the village *Kat-tila* near Udupi (to keep them safe for future uses). ततो दयावारिनिधिग्रीमे सेत्तिलाहवये। स्वशास्त्रग्रन्थमकरोत् भूगतं पूर्णशेम्**भि**:।। (सं.प.)

Hence after the times of Madhvacharya these texts are not available to next generations. But nobody dared to question the authenticity of these works for next three centuries. Around the 1550 CA, nearly 300 years after Madhvacarya, Appayya Diksita for the first time questioned of authenticity of the sources cited by Madhvacarya. But all the objections of Appayya Dikhsita were efficiently set right by Vijayindra Tirtha, one of his contemporary Dvaita exponents.

Recently Roque Mesquita treaded the same path⁵. For the same reason, again this field has drawn the attention of scholars across the globe. To resolve some of the problems raised by Mesquita, a

¹ Head, Dept. of Darshanas, JRRajasthan Sanskrit University, Jaipur.

² Dean, Karnataka Sanskrit University, Bengaluru.

³ Aditya Prakashan, New Delhi, 2000. English Translation of original book in German.

⁴ See तत्त्वप्रदीप चक्षुराद्यधिकरण 2.4.7, where he notes the full context of a sentence of आग्निवेश्यश्रुति quoted by Madhvacarya. अत्र संशयोत्थापकप्रथमवाक्यं प्रकरणबलात् प्राणगोचरं प्रतिपाद्यते। श्रीपतित्वादीनां चासाधारणहेतूनामनुपलम्भात्।।

⁵ "Madhva's unknown literary sources, some observations" Pub. by Aditya Prakashana, New Dealhi. 2000.

successful attempt is made by Dr. B.N.K.Sharma & Shrisha Rao⁶ and By Prof. V.N. Pandurangi⁷. By our present effort, it will be clear that many more things are yet to be explored regarding the sources of Madhvacarya. This is the third in a series of papers to be published in this field, Others being "Review of the Roque Mesquita's "Madhva's Unknown Literary Sources" Problems of methodology, Prajna 7 2013, and "Devataakaanda of Kashakrtsna/Paila" Prajna 8⁸, 2014.

In the same context, Dr. Sharma⁹ threw some light on the importance of *Pancaraatra* (PR) texts. The PR texts are counted among the prominent sources of Maadhva Philosophy. It is well-known to scholars that there is a huge corpus of PR texts, many of which are still unfortunately left out in the dark rooms of Manuscript libraries.

We got much inspired by Dr.Sharma's writing and started to trace out Madhvacarya's quotations and tenets in PRs, which were believed to have been lost to us. In our way, we found some unforeseen faces of PRs, and realized the importance of PRs in studies of Dvaita Vedaanta.

In this paper we intend to present some results of our investigation on PRs the unexplored wealth of knowledge.

Introduction to Pancaraatra Texts

Like Vedas, *Mahaabhaarata* (MB), and *Bhaagavata* the *Pancaraatras* (PR) are the prominent texts of India. According to Vedic hierarchy, Vedas are the higher most authorities on spiritual knowledge¹⁰. MB, Bhaagavata and PRs fill the place after the Vedas¹¹. PRs draw their contents from the Vedas. Thus, PRs are considered amongst the high authoritative texts on spiritual knowledge.

According to available textual evidences, Yaamunacarya of 10th century, was first to reestablish the authoritativeness of PRs, later to be followed by Acaaryas viz., Raamaanujacarya and |Madhvacarya. It is evident that PRs had been in currency even in the period of Samkaracarya since Samkaracarya discusses the veridicality of PRs in his BSSB¹².

According to MB, PRs are as authoritative as Vedas, as they are produced by Lord himself¹³.

Unlike the MB and Bhaagavata, PRs are of a large mass of literature. Traditionally it is recorded that PRs had together one and half crore granthas¹⁴.

Shrisha Rao and B. N. K. Sharma, Asiatische Studien LVII, 1, 2003. pp. 181–194.

10 वेदाः सर्वे श्भे मानम्।

master of innumerable branches of Pancaraatra को हयस्मात्पञ्चरात्रेष्वगणितभिदास्तन्त्रसारप्रणेतुः। (त.प्र.मङ्गलश्लोके)

⁶ BRAHMATARKA AND OTHER UNKNOWN SOURCE BOOKS OF MADHVA in My four latest research papers. published by the author, Bombay, 2001. Madhva's unknown sources: a review

⁷ Review of the Roque Mesquita's "Madhva's Unknown Literary Sources": Problems of Methodology, pp. 396-410, Prajna Vol. 7, Poornaprajna Samshodhana Mandiram, Bengaluru, 2012.

⁸ To be published.

⁹ My four latest research papers. published by the author, Bombay, 2001.

¹¹ ऋगाद्या भारतं चैव पञ्चरात्रमथाखिलम्। मूलरामायणं चैव पुराणं चैतदात्मकम्।। VTN-1.

¹² Cf. BSSB - 2.2.46.

¹³ पञ्चरात्रस्य कृत्स्नस्य वक्ता नारायणः स्वयम्। MB. Saanti Parva. 359-65.

¹⁴ सार्धकोटिप्रमाणेन कथितं तस्य विष्ण्ना। Markandeya.Samhita. Madhvacarya is praised by Trivikramapandita as a

In 1916, F. Otto Schrader (OS) of Germany conducted the very first general survey of PRs¹⁵. There were 215 PRs totally known to him. (App-1)

The second survey in 1968, by H. Daniel Smith (DS), showed that there are 288 PRs known, though out of them nearly 120 are available in MS form to him¹⁶. Rest of them are known by the lists given in various PRs, and quotations in later texts. (App-2)

Further survey, still continuing, by us shows many more PRs known to us by quotations of several later philosophers viz., Yaamunacarya, Madhvacarya, and Vedaanta Desika. (App.3, 4). Recent survey of Sadhu Shrutiprakas Das of Akshardham, Ahmedabad shows us that there are nearly 400 Pancaraatra texts. Unfortunately, most of them are lost to us.

Topics of Pancaraatras

Otto Schrader writes that most of the PRs preach the daily conduct and rituals of life in houses and temples, which are to be observed by all viz., Bachelors, Householders, Monks and Ascetics. He has listed out some important tenets of PRs.¹⁷

Most of the scholars also believe so. However PRs hold doctrinal importance related to the field of philosophy as shown by Prominent philosophers viz., Yaamunacarya, Ramaanujacarya, Madhvacarya and Vedaanta Desika who quoted PRs in support of their doctrines. ¹⁸ This period, which spanned from 10th century to 13th century, can be called as `PRs age', because in this period the position of PR texts reached the highest point, though they were composed much earlier.

Contribution of Madhvacarya in proving philosophical importance of PRs, is not duly recognized by modern researchers, who worked on PRs. Overlooking the facts, Alexis Sanderson¹⁹ opines that PRs, being under influence of *Saivaagamas*, have no philosophical importance on their own. It is noteworthy that a large corpus of PR texts is used by Madhvacarya, only, to establish his new school of Vedaanta, and by doing so he gave a new scope to the studies of Uttaramiimaamsaa. (App-5)

No other Vedaantins were able to show, explicitly, the doctrinal importance of PRs. In his Introduction to Aagamapraamaanya, J.A.B.van Buitenen²⁰ observes that "[though Yaamuna wanted to establish the philosophical connection between PRs and Uttaramiimaamsaa] Yaamuna himself does not accent the `philosophical' content at all in the Aagamapraamaanya, and that he understands PRs principally as tradition of ritual worship". And Raamaanuja also did not quote much sectarian texts that others would refuse to accept²¹.

¹⁵ Introduction to the Paanaraatra and the Ahirbudhnya samhitaa - ALS 5 ;1916. pp 6-11.

¹⁶ These works of Pancaratras, many in fragmentary manuscripts, are deposited in Cleveland Public Library by Prof. Daniel Smith. Cf. **The Smith Agama Collection**: Sanskrit Books and Manuscripts Relating to Pancaratra Studies: a descriptive catalog, by H. Daniel Smith, Foreword by Agehananda Bharati. Foreign and Comparative Studies / South Asian Special Publications 2. Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs, Syracuse University, 1978. Call Numbers Z 7835. B 88 623, BL1135.P34.

¹⁷ See ibid p. 26.

¹⁸ (रामानुजमध्वमतयोः उभयोरपि) पञ्चरात्रोपजीव्यत्वप्रपञ्चभेदसत्यत्वादिसाम्येपि pp. 128, in पूर्णप्रज्ञदर्शन section of Sarvadarsanasamgraha of SarvanaMadhava edited by V S Abhyankar, BORI 1924.

¹⁹ "History through textual criticism in the study of saivism the pancaraatra and Buddhist yoginitantras" in "Les sources et le temps, a colloquium, Pondicherry Jan 1997". Edited by Dr. F. Grimal, IFP no.91. 2001.

²⁰ See pp 6-7.

²¹ See ibid pp 28-29.

However, Vedaanta Desika, who was well versed with Madhvacarya's doctrines and approach, following Madhvacarya, strengthened this tradition further, by quoting from a number of PRs in support of his doctrines.

It is very interesting to note that Vacaspati Misra quotes a sloka from Pancaratra, which discusses the most debated doctrine of dualism²².

Thus, PRs are not to be underestimated as ordinary *taantric* literature. They must be regarded as authoritative philosophical sources.

Origin of Pancaraatras

PRs have originated from Lord Naaraayana²³ as stated in various samhitaas. Vedaanta Desika stated that only three of PRs viz., Jayaa, Paushkara, and Saattvata are the compositions of Lord, stating them as three gems of PRs²⁴. According to him other PRs are the works of Sages of later times, who sought the knowledge form Lord Naaraayana. It seems true because the contents of available PR Samhitaas point out their later origin. In the case of Vishnusamhitaa, we find the clear evidence to determine that it is an abridge version of earlier one²⁵.

Nevertheless, the concept of "ratnatraya" is not based on strong evidences.

i) Utpala Vaisnava, a ninth century kashmirian Pancaratra scholar, quotes only two viz., saattvata and Jayaa of these three.

ii) Jayaa is recorded to have been composed by Candramitra in a MS preserved in Darbar Library, Nepal²⁶.

iii) Jayaa, which enumerates²⁷ over many later samhitaas, can not be considered as earlier than of those it refers to.

iv) There is a lot of references to Saattvata, with out mentioning the other two^{28} .

Hence, the concept of "ratnatraya" and similar concepts are relatively new.

Pancaraatra Shruti, Rahasyaamnaaya, Ekaayana Shaakhaa, and Muulaveda.

²² See 1-4-21. Bhaamati. <mark>यथाहुः पाञ्चरात्रिकाः- आमुक्तेर्भेद एव स्यात् जीवस्य च परस्य च। मुक्तस्य तु न भेदोस्ति भेदहेतोरभावतः।। It is said to be quoted in Bhaaskara Bhaashya also.</mark>

²³ इदं महोपनिषदं चतुर्वेदसमन्वितम्। सांख्ययोगकृतान्तेन पञ्चरात्रानुशब्दितम्। वेदान्ते तु यथासारं संग्रहय भगवान् हरिः। भक्तानुकम्पया विद्वान् संचिक्षेप यथासुखम्।। MB, Shaanti 348/63-64. This second shloka is not available in critical edition of MB. पञ्चरात्रस्य कृत्स्नस्य वक्ता नारायणः स्वयम्।। ज्ञानेष्वेतेषु राजेन्द्र सर्वेष्वेतद्विशिष्यते।। MB 12,337.063.

²⁴ See p 315, Pancaraatraraksaa, Ed by Veeraraghavacarya, Madras, 1969.

²⁵ याष्टोत्तरशताध्याया महती विष्णुसंहिता। तत्रोक्तानां त् सर्वेषामर्थानामिह संग्रहः।। वि.सं.1.30.

²⁶ See p. 11, part II - Introduction of Luptaagamasamgraha by V.rjavallabha Dviveda, 1983.

²⁷ See p 28, T 842, IFP.

²⁸ See Bhaagavata 1-3-8. ; MB, Bheesma 66-40. etc. ; Aitareya Braahmana.

Vedaanta Desika says that the whole system of PRs is based on muulaveda, which is also called as ekaayana²⁹. The veridicality of this Veda is established by Yaamunacharya in his works³⁰. In the tradition of Raamaanuja, the ekaayana-shruti is held to be the base of all Vedic shaakhas. The same is considered as origin of PRs. V. Srivatsaankaacaarya said (in personal communication) that tradition of rahasyaamnaaya³¹ cited by Vedaanta Desika is nothing but muula shruti, which is ekaayana itself.

In this context, it is noteworthy that some scholars try to link Ekaayana with Pancaraatrashruti cited by Utpala Vaishnava³². And the same Ekaayana is also assumed to be identical with kaanva shaakhaa of shukla yajurveda by Naagesa Bhatta in his kaanva- shaakhaa-mahima-samgraha³³.

There seems to be difference of opinion among the Raamaanujiiya scholars. Abhinava Ranganaatha Svaami³⁴ of Parakaala Matha has come to the conclusion that Ekaayana shaakhaa is a sub shaakhaa of shuklayajurvediiya-maitraayaniiya-shaakhaa. His argument is supported by one quotation of atharvashiras³⁵ and the different readings in the MSs of caranavyuuhasuutras.

But, T. Veeraraaghavaacharya thinks that this Ekaayana shaakhaa is the root of all other Vedic Shakhaas³⁶. Opposing all these view-points, Appayya Dikhsita rejects the very existence of Ekaayana or similar shaakhaas.³⁷

These different opinions lead us to the state of confusion as to What is the nature of Ekaayana? Which Veda it belongs to? Is it identical with muulaveda? What is the Rahasyaamnaaya quoted by Vedaanta Desika? Which shaakhaa is called pancaraatra shruti cited by Utpala Vaishnava? Whether all these are one or not ?

Let's discuss the whole issue with some heuristics and evidences.

According to Madhvacarya, PRs were preached by Naarayana in krtayuga³⁸. He also says that PRs texts, like Vedas, existed in all times.³⁹

Though the works we have now are later works, It is clear that they were existing from much earlier time, which is not yet known. (See antiquity section).

²⁹ See Nyaayaparisuddhi by VD inn the context of interpretation of the sentence of Visvaksena samhitaa viz., श्रुतिमूलमिदं शास्त्रं प्रमाणं कल्पसूत्रवत्।

³⁰ यथा च एकायनशाखाया अपौरुषेयत्वं तथा काश्मीरागमप्रामाण्ये प्रपञ्चितम्।। Aagamapraamaa.nya. p 79. Ed. Van Buetine, 1971.

³¹ Pancaraatrarakshaa, pp 78,94 and 115. ALS -36, 1942.

³² L.N. Bhatta in Introduction of Paacaraatrapaaramya of T.Veeraraaghaavaacaarya, Pub. RSVP Tirupati, 1991.

³³ See p 29, Vaishnvaagamavimarshah by Vrajavallabha Dviveda. Pub. by SSU, Vaaranasi, 1997.

³⁴ See p 11, Haya-shiro-ratnabhuushanam. Pub. by Mysore Govt. in 1950.

³⁵ स एभ्यः प्रत्युवाच भगवतो (ना)तत्र शौक्लिकानि यजूंषि द्विसहस्राणि तान्येकायनानीत्याचक्षते। Quoted by Bhagavata Laksmiinarasi.mha saastri in his introduction to Kaanvasamhitaa.

³⁶ See pp 39,40. Pancaraatrapaaramyam, T.Veeraraaghaavaacaarya.

³⁷ न हयेकायशाखेति काचन शाखा क्वचित् गीयमाना दृश्यते। Kalpataruparimala, 2-2-44.

³⁸ नारायणाद्विनिष्पन्नं ज्ञाने कृतयुगे स्थितम्। IBSB 1-1-1. नारायणादिति। नारायणावतारकृतपञ्चरात्रोपदेशात्, ज्ञानं

सम्यग्ज्ञानम्, विनिष्पन्नम्। p 8, Vedagarbha Naaraya.naacaarya's Brahmasuutrabhasyaarthamanjarii, T 752, IFP.

³⁹ see Aatharvanopanisadbhaashya of Madhvacharya.

Names of Pancaraatras

The very name of PRs is interesting. According to one tradition, Narrayana taught PRs to different gods like *Caturmukha Brahman* etc., and to the Sages like Naarada etc., in 5 nights. So the texts which are taught in five nights are called "Pancaraatras".⁴⁰ However, it seems it is not correct, as then, it should have been called Paancaraatra; not as Pancaraatra. The name Pancaraatra is accepted as the original one by all as it is seen in older texts⁴¹. Utpala Vaishnava too cites them as Pancaraatra.

According to Naarada Pancaraatra, the word "raatram" denotes "knowledge". The knowledge is fivefold. Hence, it is named as Pancaraatram.⁴²

Explaining the fivefold knowledge Ahirbudhnya Samhitaa gives another noteworthy explanation of word "Pancaraatram"⁴³. Lord Vishnu has five forms. The texts which explain these five forms of Lord, are called Pancaraatram. But this does not explain the secret of "raatra". There are so many such explanations that are given in various *samhitas*.

After considering all these facts, the best possible conclusion we reached at, is that the PRs have been named on Pancaraatra shruti,⁴⁴ which is their root.⁴⁵ The similarity in the name and contents indicates the relation between them. PR shruti got this name because of its revelation during pancraatrayajna performed by Naaraayana⁴⁶ who is an incarnation of Lord Vishnu as the son of Dharma in krtayuga. Lord himself preached pancaraatra shruti and PRs.

Names of Different Samhitaas

There is a debate on total size of PRs, as they are said to have about one and half Crore Granthas.⁴⁷ The modern scholars do not believe in such claims. But, considering the available quantity of works, we will not see difficulty in such traditional claims.

Though the whole composition of PRs is ascribed to Lord Naaraayana, it is not justifiable to think all the available Samhitaas are works of Narayana. It seems that the contents of original Samhitaas were abridged by later Sages⁴⁸. That's why they are recognized on the name of their compositors. Moreover, there are also some possibilities of composition by some other persons⁴⁹.

However, the original works (most of them are may not be available now) were the works of Naaraayana of badarii, who is an incarnation of Vishnu.

⁴¹ See Aagamapraamaa.nya and Spandapradiipikaa etc.

did say nothing about para-vaasudeva, the meaning of word is significant.

⁴⁰ पञ्चापि पृथगेकैकं दिवारात्रं जगत्प्रभुः। अध्यापयामास यतः....पञ्चरात्रमितीर्यते।। Isvara.Sam. 21.532/533.

⁴² रात्रं च ज्ञानवचनं ज्ञानं पञ्चविधं स्मृतम्। तेनेदं पञ्चरात्रं हि प्रवदन्ति मनीषिणः। Narada.Pa.Sam. 1-44.

⁴³ तत्परव्यूहविभवस्वभावादिनिरूपणम्। पञ्चरात्राहवयं तन्त्रं मोक्षैकफललक्षणम्।। Ahi.Sam. 11-63though Madhvacharya

⁴⁴ Pacaraatrashruti is referred to by Utpala Vaishnava of 9th century in his Spandapradiipikaa; and Madhvacharya of 13th century in his Aatharvana Upanisad Bhaashya, 1.1.5, p 491 Ed by Bannanje.

⁴⁵ वेदमेकायनं नाम वेदानां शिरसि स्थितम्। तदर्थकं पञ्चरात्रं मोक्षदं तत्क्रियावताम्।। Sriipra.sna sam 2-38.

⁴⁶ स एतं पुरुषमेधं पञ्चरात्रं यज्ञक्रतुमपश्यत्। Shatapathabraahmana 13.6.1.1.

⁴⁷ सार्धकोटिप्रमाणेन कथितं तस्य विष्णुना। रात्रिभिः पञ्चभिः सर्वं पञ्चरात्रमतः स्मृतम्।। Markandeya.Sam.

[🕫] याष्टोत्तरशताध्याया महती विष्णुसंहिता। तत्रोक्तानां त् सर्वेषामर्थानामिह संग्रहः।। वि.सं.1.30.

⁴⁹ See Gonda, Medieval Religious Literature in Sanskrit.1977.

Different PRs got different names of Devataas and Sages, because these Devataas and Sages taught these PRs to their disciples. It seems to us that there were at least thousands of PR Samhitaas separately taught by these Sages of different Vedic Shaakhaas in the same manner as their own Vedic *Shaakhaa*s were taught.⁵⁰ This view is supported by a quotation of *Brahmaanda* by Madhvacharya in his *Brhadaaranyakopanisadbhaasya*⁵¹.

Veridicality and Antiquity of PRs

Most of Vaidika Aachaaryas accepted PRs as authority. Although Shamkara denied the veridicality of PRs criticizing some tenets of PR's, he accepted other tenets of PR's which are not contradictory to his doctrines. The commentators of Shamkara have accepted the veridicality of PRs⁵² stating that PRs are authored by Lord solely. Recent controversies raised by Appayya Dikshita seem to be illogical, as they are contradicted by his own preceding Aacaaryas i.e., Vachaspati and others. It is not unknown to the world of scholars that works like "Aagamapraamaanya" of Yamuna, and "Pancaraatrarakshaa" of Vedanata Desika (VD) have efficiently refuted the views of their critics. Thus, It is clear that PRs are among highest authorities. Madhvacarya has also considered PRs as veridical sources to his siddhaanta. He enumerated PRs as one of seven holy scriptures.

Antiquity

- 1) Pancaraatras are the earliest available literature after vedas⁵³
- 2) Saattvatas are mentioned in Aitareyabraahmana⁵⁴.
- 3) Mahaabhaarata and Bhaagavata refer to it.

⁵⁰ यथा तु वेदवृक्षस्य शाखाभेदा हयनेकशः। तथा भेदास्समाख्याताः पञ्चरात्रस्य सूरिभिः।।Vishn.Sam. 2-22/23. तच्चतूर्धा स्थितं शास्त्रम् Pancaraatrarakshaa, Adyar Ed,p. 9.

⁵¹ p. 323 अमिताक्षरं पञ्चरात्रम्।

52 बुद्धिपूर्वकृतिः पञ्चरात्रं निःश्वसितं श्रुतिः। तेन जीवजनिस्तत्र सिद्धा गौणी नियम्यते।। Kalpataru, 2-2-42. 53 पञ्चरात्रमृगाद्याश्च सर्वमेकं प्राभवत् । मूलवेद इति हयाख्या काले कृतयुगे तदा ।। नैवर्क्सामादिनामानि तदा वेदस्य चाभवत् । नैव चेन्द्रादिनामानि विष्णोरन्यत्र कुत्रचित् ।। ब्रहमरुद्रेन्द्रपूर्वैस्त् नामभिः प्रोच्यते हरिः । देवतात्वेन चेज्यस्स ब्रहमाद्याः मन्नामकाः ।। वक्तृत्वेन पितृत्वेन कारित्वेनैव चादरात् । इज्यन्ते देवताः सर्वा न त् देवतया क्वचित् ।। अनन्ययाजिनस्ते त् तस्मात् कार्तयुगा जनाः । प्राप्नुवन्ति हरिं तं च तस्माद्वेदे न किञ्चन ।। पारावर्यं हरेर्यस्माद्त्थितास्त्रगाननात् । ऋगाद्या अन्व्याख्यान्तास्तस्मात् सर्वैर्हरिं यजेत् ।। तस्माद् ब्रहमादयः सर्वे मनवो मानवास्तथा । यजन्ति सर्ववेदैस्तं जानन्ति च विनिश्चयात् ।। अशक्तः पञ्चरात्रेण ऋगादयैर्वाथ तं यजेत् । ऋगादयैरेव स त्रैतैर्भिन्नैरिष्टो जनैर्हरिः ।। द्वापरीयैर्जनैर्विष्णुः पञ्चरात्रैस्तु केवलैः । कलौ तु नाममात्रेण पूज्यन्ते भगवान् हरिः ।। एको वेदः कृते हयासीत् त्रेतायां स त्रिधाभवत् । स एव पञ्चधा जातो दवापरं प्राप्यं वै युगम् ।। उत्सन्नः स कलिं प्राप्य वेदः प्रायेण सर्वशः । मुख्यो धर्मः कार्तयुगो वर्तितव्यः क लावपि ।। त्रेतादौ तदशक्त्या हि धर्मोन्यः सम्प्रकीर्तितः । कृते भागवताः सर्वे वेदाश्च पुरुषास्तथा ।। त्रेतायां भिन्नविषयास्ततस्त्रैविद्यतां गताः । तस्मादेकः सर्ववेदैर्ज्ञेयो विष्णुः सनातनः ।। पूज्यो यज्ञैः सोपचारैर्ध्ययो वन्द्यश्च सर्वदा ।। इत्यादि नारायणसंहितायाम्।Aatharvanopanisadbhaashya p.491 ⁵⁴ Sanskrit introduction of Pancaraatrarakshaa, Adyar edition.

- 4) Puraanas are composed by extracting the contents from PRs.⁵⁵
- 5) Tantravaartika refers to PRs⁵⁶.
- 6) Brhatsamhitaa of Varaahamihira contains Vaisnava elements from PRs. It collects these matters from hundreds of earlier Samhitaas, some of them are supposed to be PRs.
- 7) Vaisnava elements in mahaabhaashya of Patanjali are taken from PRs. The verse
- बलभद्रद्वितीयस्य बलं कृष्णस्य वर्धताम् in mahaabhashya is supposed to be from PRs.
- 8) These are based on Ekaayana shaakhaa. (मन्त्रैरेकायनोक्तैः)

The *Bhaagavata*⁵⁷ and *Mahaabhaarata*⁵⁸, which mention the name of *saattvata* do not seem to be referring to the mere *Saattvatasamhitaa* (SS), which is one of the PR texts. Though PRs are anterior to MB, and B, it is difficult to admit that the above mentioned works refer to SS. Hence it seems that the name Saattvata is applicable to whole PR literature.

Ratnatraya concept seems to be new, as Madhvacharya quotes *Saattvata* very rarely, while *jayaakhya* and *pauskara* are not quoted at all by Him. No early commentators ever refer to it. Regarding the reference to *ratnatraya* and all other *samhitaas* (as *ratnatrayanishtha samhitaas*) in Jayaakhya (p.28, T.842, IFP), we have to think this *Jayaakhya* as a recent work, composed after all other *samhitaas*. Or we will have to think them as recent interpolations. So we are forced to think that *ratnatraya* are of recent origin, compared to other *samhitaas* quoted by Madhvacharya and Utpalavaishnava, as in the case of older vedic *Shaakhaas* quoted by Madhvacharya.⁵⁹

And *Paadmasamhitaa* (4.33) speaks of ocean of *bhaagavata* literature, which is certainly not the presently available *Bhaagavtapuraana* literature.⁶⁰

And it seems that Madhvacharya got all of his PRs from Badarii (or even deeper Himalayas, as it is said in MV.8.), which is mentioned as the place of origin of PRs in MB⁶¹ (12.326.99). Shvetadviipa which is believed by some as the place of origin of PRs, seems not so, as it is told only in later texts, and not in any older texts. Moreover, Narayana, who is believed to have preached PRs, is said to be residing in *Naranaaraayanaashrama* near Badarii.

Schrader, who believes PRs are composed in Kashmir has only one factor to support, that is snow. Snow is possible in Badarii also. Moreover, it is justified by the fact that Kashmiri Utpalavaishnava quotes many of them. Kashmir is also near to Badarii.

⁵⁵ पुराणान्यथ चाकरोत्।।82।। शैवान् पाशुपताच्चक्रे संशयार्थं सुरद्विषाम्। वैष्णवान् पञ्चरात्राच्च यथार्थज्ञानसिद्धये। ब्राहमांश्च वेदतश्चक्रे प्राणग्रन्थसङ्ग्रहान्।।83।। MBTN.11.**83**

⁵⁶ PRs are referred to in *Tantravaartika* (1-3-4, p.328 of Tara Book Agency Ed; 1984).

⁵⁷ तृतीयमृषिसर्गं वै देवर्षित्वमुपेत्य सः । तन्त्रं सात्वतमाचष्ट नैष्कर्म्यं कर्मणां यतः ।।1-3-8।।

अवतारस्तृतीयोस्य देवर्षिः प्रथितो दिवि । महिदासस्त्वैतरेयो यस्तन्त्रं नारदेवदत् ।। इति च ।।८।। BTN

⁵⁸ सात्वतं विधिमास्थाय गीतस्संकर्षणेन यः Bhii.smaparva, 66.40.

⁵⁹ Some of the Vedic shaakhaas whose authors are mentioned in vamshabraahmanas of BAU, and AU, are supposed to be old, as known by Madhvacharya's quotations from these works, contrary to BNK Sharma's (34:1980) assumption that thse are post Shvetaashvatara.

⁶⁰ See the details of *Bhaagavata* literature in Vrajavallabha Dviveda (46:1997).

[ा] नारदोपि महातेजाः प्राप्यानुग्रहमीप्सितम्। नरनारायणौ द्रष्टुं प्राद्रवत् बदराश्रमम्। इदं महोपनिषदं चतुर्वेदसमन्वितम्।

सांख्ययोगकृतान्तेन पञ्चरात्रानुशब्दितम्। नारायणमुखोद्गीतं नारदोश्रावयत्पुनः।। म.भा. १२.३२६.९९-१०१

Madhvacharya quoted these Samhitaas, after he got these works in his first northern tour. It is interesting to note that Madhvacharya quoted some PRs (18 out of 64 works, aproximately) available in south India, in his first work *Giitaabhaashya*, (some of them were also quoted by Vedantadesika) before getting much more PR texts from Badarii. But Madhvacharya quoted much more PRs after his northern tour. Even now two PRs (*Vishnurahasya* and *Prakaashasamhitad*⁶²) cited by Madhvacharya are available in MS form in Maadhva tradition, although other works not available.

Thus it can be accepted that out of several thousands of Samhitaas⁶³, only a few of them reached south India, all others being lost in time, most of them being very old works. The existence of available works itself shows these are the later works. Some of the existing works list hundreds of older non-extant works. It shows that they are later works, compiled after all those listed works were composed.

There are ample evidences to conclude that these samhitaas as are abridged to suite the *Paancaraatrikas* viz. the practitioners of Pancaraatra texts. These abridged versions come handy to practitioners in most of daily rituals in temples since it is very difficult to handle the large texts as a whole.

Gonda who places the PRs between 600 to 850 has ignored the references of Dvaadashamuurtis and other vaishnava PR matters in bruhatsamhitaa of 4th century

PRs are also referred in *Tantravaartika* (1-3-4, p.328 of Tara Book Agency Ed; 1984) of Kumaarila Bhatta of sixth century. Though Kumaarila opines they were not authoritative, it is apparent that they were in very much use at that time.

And We know from quotation of Kumaradeshika in *Adhikaranacintaamani*⁶⁴, that *Devataakaanda* (which is extinct now⁶⁵) deals with deities and their images, and certainly had some PR elements. *Devataakaanda* is a prepaninian work as it is in between *Karmakaanda* and *Brahmakaanda*⁶⁶. *Brahmakaanda* is a well known pre-paninian referred to in Paanini (पाराशर्यशिलालिभ्यां भिक्षुनटस्त्रया: 5-1-72).

One more interesting thing is that *Caranaryuuhasuutra* of Shaunaka which is assumed to be of 4th to 8th century BC, counts only five shaakhaas of Rgveda and 36 Shaakhaas of Yajurveda and like, whereas Patanjali says there were 21 shaakhaas of rgveda in his time. (Mahaabhaashya.1-1-1). Patanjali can not be dismissed as a liar or exaggerating this number. There is no valid reason to do so. If we accept that there were 21 samhitaas of Rgveda at the time of Patanjali, then this fact pushes the literary history of India to at least 1500 years back, from what is accepted now 2nd century BC, as we need to give sufficient time gap for the loss of those shaakhaas of Rgveda. Only an open mind is needed to accept these facts.

⁶³ This view is supported by vi.s.nua.mhiataa यथा त् वेदवृक्षस्य शाखाभेदा हयनेकशः। तथा भेदास्समाख्याताः

पञ्चरात्रस्य सूरिभिः।। (Vishnu.Sam. 2-22/23)

⁶⁴ svaruupamaadau tadbheda.h tadupaasanapuurvaka.m/

phala.m ca devataakaa.n.de devataanaa.m tu kathyate// also quoted in paramaarthabhuu.s.na of T.Veeraraghavacharya (p. 186).

⁶² Former is in print, while later is edited by Udayakumar Bhatta as part of his Ph.D dessertation.

⁶⁵ AD is wrong to conclude that "the available *sa.mkar.sakaa.n.da* is *deavataakaa.nda*", so T.Veeraraghavacharya, as it is clear that VD is referring another work, different from the available *sa.mkar.skaa.n.da* in his *shataduu.n.nii* and *seshvaramiiimaamsaa*. The devataakaa.n.da is quoted byonly Madhvacharya and VD. VD follows Madhvacharya in this matter, as he refers to Madhvacharya as *tattvav.rddhaa.h* in *shataduu.n.nii*. He had the highest regard to M, as it is evident from *tatsannik.r.stamathavaa matmashrayanta.*h in *shataduu.n.nii* Mesqita who thinks VD as an opponent of Madhvacharya should know it.

⁶⁶ Cf "Devataakaanda of Kashakrtsna/Paila" of V N Pandurangi published in Prajna 8.

Even if we agree to the architectural elements in Jayaakhya⁶⁷ and elsewhere, It can not be a reason to place PRs between 600 CE to 850 CE. Jayaakhya etc. are relatively later.

There will not be any doubt about antiquity of *Ishvarasamhitaa* if we accept that references to *Melkottai*, and *Shathakopamuni* in *Iishvarasamhitaa* as later interpolations. Nobody can cite a work older by only one century as an authority along with all other older works. It should be kept in mind that We are not claiming all *Samhitaa* as old, but those quoted by authorities are definitely old.

The fact that out of six gems *sanatkumaara*, *paadma*, *parama*, *maahendra*, *kaanva*, only *sanatkumaara* (as *mahaasamhitaa*) *paadma*, and *parama* are quoted by Madhvacharya, shows Madhvacharya apparently knew others works as new in his time. It is also accepted by Gonda (90+: 1977). *Paramasamhitaa* is also quoted by Ramanujacharya.

Naaradiiya which is extensively quoted by Madhvacharya is assumed as not earlier than ninth century. This is an assumption based on no evidence. As Buddha was always considered as 10th *avataara* of Vishnu it is unwarranted to assume that concept as posterior to ninth century. Buddhism is criticized in pre-paaninian *Brahmasuutras* (BS 2-2-7, 8).

And all the eight *samhitaa*s having the lists of *samhitaa*s are relatively new, as Madhvacharya does not quote them. Gonda too supports this view.

There is no division between *Pancaraatra* and *Bhaagavata* texts as claimed by Gonda (48:1977), and by Vrajavallabha Dviveda (46:1997). Reference to people called *Bhaagavtas* and *Paancaraatrikas*, separately, in Har.sacarita (8.5) does not necessarily mean the *Bhagavatas* mentioned there were *Vi.snubhaagavtas*. These *Bhaagavtas* were probably *shivabhaagavtas* mentioned in *Mahaabhaaa.sya*. Though *Bhaagavta* texts are mentioned in *Agnipuraana* (39.1-5), there is no difference in concepts between two groups of texts, as Madhvacharya quotes both *tantrabhaagavata* and *Bhaagavtatantra*-which are believed to be belonging to that group- without differentiating them from other PR texts.

The statement made by Gonda (1977) that *Paancaraatrikas* were inclined to admit people of other caste in to their community is utterly false. There is no evidence, nor it is in practice⁶⁸.

There is a view (Gonda:1977) that originally there were no architectural elements in PRs as well as in *shaivaagamaas*. These are later interpolations. However, it is a simple antagonistic assumption, which has no basis.

Regarding the *Ekaaayana Shruti*, which is claimed as the base of PRs, this can be said. Madhvacharya nowhere refers to it. Madhvacharya ⁶⁹ says the word *Ekaaayana* in CAU⁷⁰ (7-1-2,p.445) refers to *vedasaara*, not to *veda* itself, while the word *vaakovaakya* CAU (7-1-2.p.445) refers to *muulaveda*, which is also referred to in the AUB (p.491) as the original *veda* combining the *Rg, Yajus, Saama, Atharva* and *Pancaraatra*. The BAUB⁷¹ (6-1-2,p.323) refers to PRs as *Shloka*. It is evident from reliable quotations that *Ekaaayana Shruti* once existed. We have lost numerous *Shrutis* in past. Not all *Shrutis*

⁶⁷ "one MS of 11th century of jayaakhya is available in nepal" my friend Dominic says.

⁶⁸ But VS thinks it was true. There was traditional suspicion about *Paancaraatrika*'s Brahminhood, as known from *Aagamaprmaanya* of Yamuna and *Aagamadambara* of Jayanta Batta.

⁶⁹ वाकोवाक्यं मुलवेदो वेदोपसारोपसंहृतिः। एकायनमिति प्रोक्तम् CAUB (7-1-2,p.445)

⁷⁰ वाकोवाक्यम् एकायनं देवविद्याम् (7-1-2,p.445)

⁷¹ अमिताक्षरं पञ्चरात्रं विद्येत्याहुर्मनीषिणः। मिताक्षरं पञ्चरात्रमुभयं वेद ईर्यते।। BAUB (6-1-2,p.323) शतकोटयः पञ्चरात्रम् BAUB, p. 269

are counted in *caranavyuuhasuutras*. It is evident that some *Shruti*s quoted by *Shamkara* and like, are neither listed nor available. Appayya dikshita who thinks (in his *Parimala*, 2-2-12) that there was no *Ekaayana Shaakhaa*, has ignored the quotations from that Shaakhaa in later texts. One sentence quoted by Madhvacharya in his BSB यदात्मको भगवान् is from *ekaayanashruti*. The same sentence is quoted by Vedantadeshika also.

We find some quotations from *muulashruti* in the *Bhagavadgunadarpana* of Parashara Bhatta, who was a junior contemporary of Ramanuja as follows-

मूलसंहितायां- धाता क्षेत्रे कर्मबीजभूतं गर्भं दधाति (p.56 of PB Annangaracharya Ed. reprint 1964);

अहर्हीनमिति मौलं निरुक्तम् (p.70);

मूले हि श्रूयते ज्ञानेन (A big passage);

बाह्लयन् बह्लम् इति च मौलाः (p.87);

मूलसंहितायां चैतद्व्यञ्जितम् (p.89) (A big passage which is also quoted by Madhvacharya);

And two other quotations from *muulashruti*. Madhvacharya frequently quotes this Muulashruti such as चतुर्दश महाविद्यास्थानानि वेदितव्यानि भवन्ति इति मूलश्रुतिः in AUB. Thus we can not deny the *ekaayanashaakhaa*, which is another name of *muulashruti*.

Parashara Bhatta also quotes the *vaar.sneyaadhayaatma* (p.62), which can be identified with *yaadavaadhyaatma* quoted by Madhvacharya.

Vedantadeshika quotes many a sentences of Muulashruti and Rahasyamnayabrahmana.⁷²

⁷² Quotations in Pancaraatrarakshaa (Adyar ed) and other works are as follows- उभे सन्ध्ये भगवान् अभिगन्तव्यः (p.150), कुसीदमेके विहरन्ति सर्वे य आशीर्वदन्तो ददत्यत्र किंचित् पर्यन्तलोकास्त्विह ते भवन्ति अनाशिषस्त् विजयायानन्त्याय (p. 77) अथ भो (अयि भो) भगवन्तं याचेत। न भो इत्याह। आश्रयितव्यो भगवान्, न त्वेव याच्यः (p.78) स चाचार्यवंशो जेयो भवति आचार्याणामसावसावित्याभगवत्तः (p. 94) जान्भ्यां पाणिभ्यां शिरसा च नन्तव्यं भवति....सर्वैः करणैर्नमन् समग्रो भवति। समग्रो नमन् आप्तकारी भवति। आप्तकारी भगवन्तं प्राप्नोति (इति रहस्याम्नायब्राहमणे नमस्कारप्रकरणे p.115), याः काश्चन कृतयो भगवति न मम ममतास्ति तास्। भगवत एव ताः। अममोहं भगवति। अहमपि न मम। भगवत एवाहमस्मि इत्येवम् अममतां योजयतीत्यतो नमः (स्तोत्रभाष्ये p. 21), यत्करोमि यदश्नामि यज्ज्होमि ददामि यत्। यत् तपस्यामि भगवन् तत् करोमि त्वदर्पणम्। ग्रोर्ग्रौ संनिहिते वृत्तिः कार्या यथा ग्रौ। विद्यागुरुष्वनन्येष्वप्यन्या वृत्तिः स्वयोनिष्।। (पूर्वश्रवे पां.र. 2 अधि. p.74), यस्य सर्वे समारम्भा अनाशीर्बन्धनास्त्विह। त्यागे यस्य हृतं सर्वं स त्यागी स च पण्डितः।। (2 अधि. रहस्याम्नायब्राहमणे p.78), यदा हययं केवलपाणिभ्यामेव नमति, न जान्भ्यां न शिरसा, एकेन ज्ञानेन नमति स्पर्शविशेषज्ञानेन हीयते, इतराणि ज्ञानानि कर्मणः। एकेन नमन् ऊनो नमति। ऊनो नमन् अनाप्तकारी भवति। अनाप्तकारी न भगवन्तमाप्नोति।। (आमनन्ति पा.र. उ.भा. p.116), यो वेदवृक्षं बहमूलशाखं नानाफलार्थिद्विजसंघसेव्यम्। पूर्वश्रवान्श्रवभेदभिन्नम् आरोपयत् तं पुरुषं प्रपद्ये (पा.र. 1 अधि. p. 5), नमस्कारप्रकरणे- "तद् यथा पुनरयं जान्भ्यां पाणिभ्यां शिरसा च" इत्यारभ्य "इत्येवं नमति स सर्वैः करणैर्नमति" इत्युक्तम्। तादृशप्रणामश्चैवं प्रशस्यते "सर्वैः करणैर्नमस्यन् समग्रो भवति, समग्रो नमन् आप्तकारी भवति, आप्तकारी भगवन्तमाप्नोति" इति स्तोत्रभाष्ये (5 श्लो), नित्या अलिङ्गा स्वभावसंसिदधिः, किमात्मिकैषा भगवतो व्यक्तिः। यदात्मको भगवान्। किमात्मको भगवान्। ज्ञानात्मकः। (न्या.सि.नि.वि.परि.). Tatparyacandrika too quotes many sentences of Rahasyamnaaya as follows स चाचार्यवंशो जेयो भवति आचार्याणामसावसावित्याभगवत्तः (ता.चं.अवतारिका), "नित्या अलिङ्गा स्वभावसंसिदधिरिन्द्रियाकारा अङ्गप्रत्यङ्गव्यञ्जनवती" तथा चामनन्ति रहस्याम्नायविदः

Vedantadeshika in his Tattvatiikaa also quotes .Saadgunyaviveka, which is also quoted by Utpala. Madhvacharya also quotes the .Saa.dgunyaviveka as .Saa.dgunya.

Madhvacharya's philosophy based on Vedas and PRs

We see that large corpus of quotations in Madhvacharya's works is from PRs. It will be clear if we see the total number of works cited by Madhvacharya and the number of PR's in it (see appendix-1). Half of the 292 works cited by Madhvacharya, are PRs⁷³. Though some of them are not listed by Schrader and Smith, It does not prohibit us to accept them as genuine PRs works as some of them are quoted by close contemporary philosophers of Madhvacarya⁷⁴ viz. Yamuna, Ramnuja and Vedantadeshika also. Recently Dr. B.N.K. Sharma⁷⁵ claimed that the names of PRs quoted by Madhvacarya that are unknown to others have been listed in the publication of Pancaraatra Parishodhana Parishad, Chennai. However, unfortunately we were not able to trace some of them in said publication as mentioned by Dr. Sharma.

Importance given to PRs by Madhvacharya

Whenever Madhvacharya counts the authoritative works, PRs are given third place in that hierarchy. As we see

```
वेदादपि परं चक्रे पञ्चमं वेदमुत्तमम्। भारतं पञ्चरात्रं च मूलरामायणं तथा।। (GB.1.1p. 2)
अर्थीयमेव निखिलैरपि वेदवाक्यैः रामायणैः सहितभारतपञ्चरात्रैः।
अन्यैश्च शास्त्रवचनैः सह तत्त्वसूत्रैर्निर्णीयते सहृदयं हरिणा सदैव।।22।। (MBTN.1.22)
इत्यशेषपुराणेभ्यः पञ्चरात्रेभ्य एव च ।
भारताच्चैव वेदेभ्यो महारामायणादपि।।122।।<sup>76</sup>"(MBTN.9.118)
इत्येव श्रृतयोशेषाः पञ्चरात्रमथाखिलम् । मृलरामायणं चैव भारतं स्मृतयोखिलाः ।।90।।
```

(ता.चं.अवतारिका), ज्ञानज्ञापनसंप्रैषणकर्मा ब्राहमणः। ज्ञानपरित्राणकर्मा क्षत्रियः। ज्ञानबीजवर्धनकर्मा वैश्यः। ज्ञानपर्युत्थानकर्मा शूद्रः। कृतयुगस्यान्ते त्रेतायुगस्यादौ ब्राहमणक्षत्रियवैश्यशूद्रा भिद्यन्ते। तेषां भिन्नानां दृष्टिः न तथा भवति। पथ्या रसना न तथा भवन्ति। पुष्पफलमे ओषधिवनस्पतयो न तथा दधते। तां दृष्ट्वा ब्राहमणक्षत्रियवैश्यशूद्राणामसूया प्रादुर्बभूव। शूद्रः प्रथमजातिः न वः पर्युत्थास्यामि इति। वैश्यो द्वितीयजातिः न वो बीजानि वर्धयिष्यामि इति। क्षत्रियस्तृतीयजातिः न वः परित्रास्ये इति। तान् ब्राहमण इत्याह- आस्थिता यूयं न वो वक्ष्यामि इति आमनन्ति रहस्याम्नायविदः (ता.चं.18.44) (अपराधपरिहाराधिकारसारास्वादिन्यामत्र पाठभेदो दृश्यते VS), "नित्यसंनिहितशक्तिः" आमनन्ति च रहस्याम्नायविदः इममेवार्थम् (ता.चं.18.54), न ह वै भगवता यमविषयं गच्छति (?). "यथा भगवति तथा गुरौ वृत्तिः" "यथा भगवति तथा वक्तरि वृत्तिः"- शिष्यकृत्याधिकारव्याख्यासु। भगवान् पवित्रं वासुदेवः पवित्रं तत्पादौ पवित्रं, तत्पादोदकं पवित्रम्, अरिष्टमच्छिद्रम् अक्षयम् अनन्तम् अव्ययम्, परमं पवित्रं भगवान् वासुदेवः प्जात् is a sentence quoted in Ahnika works from रहस्याम्नाय.

- ⁷⁵ See 'My latest four Research Papers' by Dr.B N K Sharma pub- in 2001.
- ⁷⁶ this verse counts authorities from last to first.

⁷³ cf. the lists of Schrader and Smith in appendix.

⁷⁴ It is evident that D. Smith left some texts, deliberately, at the insistence of K.K.A. Venkatachary (KKAV), as V.Srivatsankacharya (VS) suspects. VS told us "Because KKAV was a *tenkalai*, he wanted not to quote from vadakali VD". But D. Smith wrote us (in personal communication) he listed *samhitaa*s quoted by Utpalavai.snava, because of his early date. He did not list *samhitaa*s quoted by VD, because they were of their later date.

वैष्णवानि पुराणानि साङ्ख्ययोगौ परावपि । ब्रहमतर्कश्च मीमांसेत्यनन्तः शब्दसागरः ।।91।। (AV.2-2-12) ऋगाद्या भारतं चैव पञ्चरात्रमथाखिलम् । मूलरामायणं चैव पुराणं चैतदात्मकम् ।। ये चानुयायिनस्त्वेषां सर्वे ते च सदागमाः । दुरागमास्तदन्ये ये तैर्न ज्ञेयो जनार्दनः ।। (VTN.1) ऋग्यजुःसामथर्वाश्च भारतं पञ्चरात्रकम् । मूलरामायणं चैव शास्त्रमित्यभिधीयते ।। (BSB.1-1-3)⁷⁷

As we see in all of these lists PRs are in either second or third places in the hierarchy. It shows the importance (next only to the vedas, and MB) given to PRs, by Madhvacharya. It is further supported by other facts.

It should also be noted that it appears to my mind that according to Madhvacharya, PRs are in a better place, even compared to MB. It is evident from below given facts.

1) There is a mention of PR in BAU (6-1-2) as *shloka*, where as MB is mentioned in a general manner as *Itihaasa*.

2) Quotation from the Vaaraaha in AV इति गीता च तच्छास्त्रसङ्क्षेप इति हीरितम्।। states the Giitaa is an abridged version of PRs.

3) Quotation from the Naaraayanasamhitaa पञ्चरात्रमृगाद्याश्च सर्वमेकं पुराभवत् । मूलवेद इति हयाख्या काले कृतय्गे तदा ।। states that PRs existed eternally.

4) अमिताक्षरं पञ्चरात्रं विद्येत्याहुर्मनीषिणः। मिताक्षरं पञ्चरात्रमुभयं वेद ईर्यते।। BAUB (6-1-2,p.323) clearly shows that there was a pancaraatrashruti and pancaraatra of infinite syllables.

PRs' veridicality established by Madhvacharya

Madhvacharya did not write a separate treatise to establish the veridicality of PR's. Apparently that issue was, more or less, settled by Yamuna in Aagamapraamaanya, and VD was, soon, to follow him. However, he touched this matter twice, once in B.S.B. 1-1-3, and second time in A.V. 2-2-12.

1) The Quotation of M.B. in BSB⁷⁸. 1-1-3 is given here.

Janamejaya asks

Please explain! Whether Saamkhya, Yoga, Paashupata, and Veda+Aaranyaka are of one opinion! or not!

Vaishmpaayana explains

All these are of different opinion. *Saamkhya* is told by Kapila, Hiranyagarbha is the preacher of Yoga, Paashupata is told by Shiva, while *Pancaraatra* is told by Narayana himself. *Pancaraatra* excels in all these sciences.

⁷⁷ this omits *puraa.na*s at all.

^{78 &}quot;ऋग्यजुःसामथर्वाश्च भारतं पञ्चरात्रकम् । मूलरामायणं चैव शास्त्रमित्यभिधीयते ।।

यच्चानुकू मेतस्य तच्च शास्त्रं प्रकीर्तितम् । अतोन्यो ग्रन्थविस्तारो नैव शास्त्रं कुवर्त्म तत् ।।'इति स्कान्दे । "साङ्ख्यं योगः पाशुपतं वेदारण्यकमेव च ।' इत्यारभ्य वेदपञ्चरात्रयोरैक्याभिप्रायेण पञ्चरात्रस्यैव प्रामाण्यमुक्तं इतरेषां भिन्नमतत्वं प्रदर्श्य मोक्षधर्मेष्वपि । B.S.B of Madhva 1-1-3.

Here Janamejaya asked whether all these vidyas are one or not? The Sage says these are different. But instead of answering "Vedaaranyaka is different from Saamkhya, Yoga, Paashupata", he is stated "*Pancaraatra* excels among them". This implies "Pancaraatra and Vedaaranyaka are one, and teaching one thing". In this implicative way MB says "pancaraatra is an authority, unlike Saam.khya, Yoga, Paashupata"

2) In A.V⁷⁹. Madhvacharya says----

1) "Those, who think this 2-2-12 adhikaran.a is to refute the veridicality of PR's, are contradicted to MB, as MB clearly states that "PR is authoritative⁸⁰".

2) And Vaaraaha says, "The *Giitaa* is a summary of PRs. God only can be seen by the studies of Vedas and PRs".

3) Shruti (BAU.6-1-2) tells "The Shlokas, i.e. PRs are authority".

4) "God should be meditated through Vedas and PRs" "PRs and Vedas are one science, manifested as two".

All these texts are showing us PRs are authority. So how can one refute the veridicality of PRs? And what is the fault here in the sentence "परमकारणात् परब्रहमभूतात् वासुदेवात् संकर्षणो नाम जीवो जायते। संकर्षणात् प्रद्युम्नसंज्ञं मनो जायते। तस्मादनिरुद्धसंज्ञोहंकारो जायते (Paramasamhitaa⁸¹. [PaS]). As the generation of jiiva is described here (ज्ञोत एव 2-3-11, BS.) also. The generation of Jiiva (for first time) is not told in PRs also. "Jiiva wanders in this samsaara, caught by eternal karma, by the order of God" is the tenet of PRs. The ineternality of Jiiva is not told in PRs. (The meaning of the sentence of Pa.S. is this)" The She.sa, the god of jiiva, by name Samkar.sna is generated by God. Then Kaama, the god of mind, by name Pradyumna is generated by Samkar.sana etc". Hence, the refutation of veridicality of PRs, is a mere product of furious minds. It is not tenable.

^{79}पञ्चरात्रनिषेधार्थमेतान्याचक्षते यदि ।।300।।

सूत्राण्यतिविरुद्धं तद्यत आह स भारते । पञ्चरात्रस्य कृत्स्नस्य वक्ता नारायणः स्वयम् ।।301।। जानेष्वेतेषु राजेन्द्र सर्वेष्वेतद्विशिष्यते । पञ्चरात्रविदो ये तु यथाक्रमपरा नृप ।।302।। एकान्तभावोपगता वासुदेवं विशन्ति ते । इति गीता च तच्छास्रसङ्क्षेप इति हीरितम् ।।303।। वेदेन पञ्चरात्रेण भक्त्या यज्ञेन चैव हि । दृश्योहं नान्यथा दृश्यो वर्षकोटिशतैरपि ।।304।। इति वाराहवचनं श् लोका इति वचः श्रुतौ । वेदैश्च पञ्चरात्रैश्च ध्येयो नारायणः परः ।।305।। पञ्चरात्रं च वेदाश्च विद्यैकैव द्विधेयते । इत्यादिवेदवचनैः पञ्चरात्रमपोद्यते ।।306।। कथमेवात्र दोषः क उत्पत्तिर्ज्ञात इत्यपि । इहैवोक्ता न चाभूतभावस्तत्रापि कथ्यते ।।307।। अनादिकर्मणा बद्धो जीवः संसारमण्डले । वासुदेवेच्छया नित्यं भ्रमतीति हि तद्वचः ।।308।। न हि संसारसादित्वं पञ्चरात्रोदितं क्वचित् । जीवाभिमानिशेषस्य नाम्ना सङ्कर्षणस्य तु ।।309।। वासुदेवाज्जनिः प्रोक्ता प्रद्युम्नस्य ततस्तथा । मनोभिमानिनः कामस्यैवं साक्षाद्धरेः क्वचित् ।।310।। सङ्कर्षणादिनाम्नैव नित्याचिन्त्योरुशक्तितः । व्यूह उक्तोन्यथानूद्य कथं दुष्टत्वमुच्यते ।।311।। यदि विद्याच्चतुर्वेदानितिवद्वेदपूरणम् । पञ्चरात्रादिति कुतो द्वेषः शाण्डिल्यवर्तने ।।313।। AV. 2-2-12. ® Cf.BSB.(1-1-3).

⁸¹ VS told us this is a qutation from ekaayanaveda, as said in "srutaprakaa" sikaa and other raamaanujiiya works.

Various quotations from PRs

According to our estimation, out of 292+ works quoted by Madhvacharya, 75 are PRs. This total is worked out after excluding the suspected works. If we take other suspected works as PRs, It will be more than 1/3 of the 292+ works. In GB the first work of Madhvacharya, we find 18 PRs cited out of the total number of 64 works. The number increased further in later works.

For the purpose of clarity, we can build the chronology of Madhvacharya's major works in the following way.

- 1 giitabhaa.sya
- 2 brahmasuutrabhaa.sya
- 3 bhaagavatataatparyanirnaya⁸²
- 4 dasopanishadbhaa.sya
- 5 bhaaratataatparya
- 6 geetaataatparya
- 7 nakhastuti
- 8 yamakabharata
- 9 dvaadashastotra
- 10 tantrasaara
- 11 sadaacarasm.rti
- 12 dashaprakarana
- 13 rgbhaa.sya
- 14 yatipranavakalpa
- 15 Jayantiinirnaya
- 16 anuvyaakhyaana⁸³
- 17 Nyaayavivarana

And Madhvacharya's often quoted works are

(1) Bhaagavata, (2) Harivamsha, (3) bhavi.syatpuraana, (4) Bhaarata, (5) mok.sadharma, (6) brahmaan.da, (7) gaaru.da, (8) Shabdanirnaya, (9) Skaanda, (10) paadma (11) brahmavaivarta, (12) vaaraaha, (13) brahmatarka, (14) braahma, (15) vaamana, (16) aagneya, (17) naaradiiya, (18) mahakaurma.

Of the first five works, there is no doubt. They are famous works. However, nature of other 13 works is not clear, as Madhvacharya quotes these without clear names such as *naaradiiye* (GB. p.3) *skaande* (GB. p.3) *mahaakaurme* (GB. p.3) *vaaraahe* (GB. p.35) *gaarude, brahmavaivarte,* (GB. p.36) *padme, skaande* (GB. p.37). These, We assume, refer to PRs of that name, and not always to *puraanas* of that names. We have seen such style of quoting in works of Yamuna and Vedanta Deshika. While quoting *Paadmasamhitaa*, Vedantadeshika quotes it simply by the name *paadme....*' etc. And Madhvacharya too quotes *Pravrttasamhitaa* as *pravrtta* and similarly Muularaamaayana as *Mahaaraamaayana*.

⁸² BTN is early post Badari-tour work. There are limited number of quotations in *giitaabhaa.sya* (GB). But vast number of quotations in *Bhaagavatataatparyanir.naya* (BTN). And one quotation in BTN *iti vi.s.nuk.rte tattvanir.naye* (BTN.p.199) leads us to conclude that BTN as early work than *upani.sadbhaa.syas* (UBs), as BAUB quotes the same work as mere *tattvanir.naye* (BAUB.338). It is because Madhvacharya clearly shows the nature and author of that work (see in GB and elsewhere) whenever he is quoting a work for the first time.

⁸³ अत एव प्रमाणलक्षणादौ निरूपितस्यार्थस्य पुनरत्र निरूपणं न दोषाय Nyayasudha p. 2406.

Compare these with other quotations which are cleary named by him-

- 1) vaamanapuraane (BTN.12, 14);
- 2) vahnipuraane (BTN.90) [and compare this with aagneye (BTN.93)];
- 3) bhavi.syatpuraane (BTN.312, 523);
- 4) naarasimhapuraane (AIUB.177) [compare this with naarasimhe (CUB.267)];
- 5) brahmaandapuraane tattvanirnayagiitaayaam (BAUB.274) [compare this with brahmaande (BAUB.276)].

However, there is a doubt on the nature of *kaurma*. Because Madhvacharya quotes *kuurmasamhitaa* (BTN.672), and *kaurama* (AIUB.511). Madhvacharya describes clearly the nature of work, whether he is quoting from PR or anything other. There are enough indications available for it to a carefull student of sarvamuulagranthas. Whenever he quoting from a Puraana, he has given an adjective *puraane* etc. to that text, as we see in following contexts- *Aadityapuraane* (GB.p.29) or *skaande shaive*, *padme shaive* (GB.p.19), whereas while quoting the *paadma* and *skaanda* (PR) he is simply quotes it as *paadme*, *skaande* (GBp.37). If he is quoting the same text in both occasions, he could have quoted it as *paadme*, and not as *paadme shaive*. It is more evident in the case of *Aadityapuraane*. He could have cited it simply as *Aaditye* to refer to *Aadityapuraana*. But he chose to call it as *Aadityapuaraane* to differentiate it from other PR of same name quoted elsewhere.

Madhvacharya quotes *shruti* with an adjective like *iti praaciinshaalashrutih*, if that is not famous. If it is famous one, he is not bothered to say it is a *shruti*. In the same manner, Madhvacharya quotes PRs with name, as most of them were not famous in his time. But he is not bothered to say who are the authors of these *samhitaas*, since he has said in many occasions that all PRs are the works of Narayana. So if he is citing the *saamya*, one of the PRs, (see appen-1, no.271) Madhvacharya quotes it by only name of the work. That means it is PR work, since it is not a *shruti*, nor *puraana*, neither any work of other kind (i.e. culinary, or *samgiita*). In this manner, all suspected works can be considered as PRs. The priority given to PRs in all contexts, too supports this fact. Hence, those who criticized Madhvacharya, for citing the non-existing verses from *puraana* and, those who defended this action are proved to be left behind the curtain of ignorance.

One more thing to be noted here is that, all the quotations from *paadma, skaanda*, and *gaaruda* seem to be clear-cut and straight, whereas the general *puraanic* style is mostly confusing, except those of MB, and Bhagavata.

Thus we can assume that unless stated as a *puraana* or otherwise, all cited works are the PRs. Sometimes we get the support of lists of Smith and schrader, sometimes not, as it is evident, that the lists are not comprehensive.

Important tenets of Madhvacharya's Philosophy found in PRs

It is already seen in last pages, some of the important contributions of Madhvacharya's Philosophy to the world are based in PRs. *Jiivatraividhya, saak.sivicaara, and navavidhadve.savarjana*, are all found in PRs.

It is interesting to note that Madhvacharya's first teaching of *jiivatraividhya* begins with a quotation from prakaashasamhitaa (PS) (GT.p.45). Even now PS is is available in the fragments with only two pa.talas, though the original verses are not traced. (See appendix on PS)

And *s.rstivicaara, lingadehavicaara, saak.sivicaara* are all found in PS. Much of Madhvacharya's philosophy can be found in PRs, if we dig them more and more with publication of these texts.

If we come to Madhvacharya's other works related to rituals like *tantrasaarasamgraha*; no doubt, these contents could be traced out in PRs with no difficulty.

Most of Madhvacarya's quotations in *Bhaagavata-taatparya*, are from various *samhitaa*s of PRs. Similarly the area of epistemology dealt by Madhvacarya is greatly influenced by PRs.

Studies already conducted

There were not many samhitaas available in print at the dawn of last century. Only a few PRs were printed. Most PRs were restricted to the pockets of Tamil Country.

First Survey

The first ever man to survey the available PRs, and to make a systematic survey and philosophical study of PRs was the F. Otto Schrader. This German indologist, then working as the Director of the AL wrote the book "Introduction to Pancaraatra and ahirbudhnya samhitaa " in 1916. In his book he gave the synopsis of *samhitaa*-lists found in the *kapinjala, paadma, vi.snu, hayashiir.sa*, and *agnipuraana*. According to these lists the total number of the PRs come to 215 (210+5 with some PRs available in MSs). Among them, only 23 texts were available in MSs, and up to 1919, only nine PRs were in print. In his book, he also dealt with comprehensible theory of PRs, their nature, origins, authors, contents etc.

Second Survey

Next man to deal with PRs after long pause is the H. Daniel Smith, who was the professor of religion in the Syracuse University, New York. Inspired by the monumental work of Schrader, he did more work than Schrader.

His works are these----

1) *Paancaraatrapraasaadasaadhana* (1963), which dealt with various aspects of the Temple building. It was his Doctoral theses, which comprised notes from unpublished works.

2) Paancaraatra nuulvi.lakkam (1967), which dealt, exclusively, with PR literature. This is the Tamil translation of the original notes of Smith in English, by K.K.A. Venakatachary, original notes being not available to us. All the available works of PRs were surveyed, and their contents (adhyaawise, in summary) were given. It dealt with 104 samhitaas, which were available in different MS libraries. Besides it included a good synopsis of samhitaa lists found in kapinjala, jnaanam.rtasaara, paadma, paarameshvara, puru.sottama, bhaaradvaaja, maarkan.deya, vishvaamitra, vishnutantra, hayashiir.s, agnipuraana and maheshvartantra. This synopsis also included some works quoted by Utpala⁸⁴ (U) one of the leading Kashmiri pancaraatrikas. However, apparently this synopsis left out some texts quoted by Madhvacharya and Vedantadeshika.

⁸⁴ We found that some of works quoted by Madhva i.e., ".Saa.dgu.nya", are mentioned by Utpala too. See `Vai.s.navaagamavimarsha.h' by Prof. Vrajavallabha Dvivedi, published by Sampurnananda Sanskrit University in 1997.

3) Then came "Source Book of Iconography of Sri Vaishnavism" (1969), which dealt with iconography.

4) The last work is the "A descriptive bibliography of the printed texts of Pancaraatraagama" in two parts (1975, 1980). First part of this book dealt with a detailed summary (adhayaaya-wise) of 30 printed works of PR, while the second volume served as index or first volume (GOS.168).

5) Catalogue of Pancharatra Samhitas, edited by Paramapurusha Das and Shrutiprakash Das, Svaminarayana Aksharapeeth Ahmadabad, 2002, has recorded the 147 available texts of Pancharatra texts. It has also recorded 460 names of Pancharatra texts.

Besides these books, The "Paancaraatra Parishodhana Parishat" which he founded with K.K.A. Venktachary and others, in Madras, published a critical edition of the Paadmasamhitaa, jointly edited by Seetha Padmanabhan and R N Sampath.

Other studies

Others who worked on PR are a few such as Vrajavallabha Dwivedi who edited *saattvatasamhitaa* with commentary of *Alasinga Bhatta*, and wrote *vai.snavaagamavimarsha*; Lakshmi Narasimha Bhatta who edited *vi.svaksenasamhitaa* and *paancaraatrapaaramya*⁸⁵ (of T. Veeraraghavacharya); P B Ananthacharya who worked on Iishvarasmhitaa; Yatiraraja Sampatkuamaraswamy of Melkottai; Parthasarathy Iyengar etc. (see Smith list of the printed texts of PR), Sadhu shrutiprakash Dasa's "Catalaogue of Pancaraatra Samhitaa"⁸⁶ Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetha Tirupati's editions of Pancaraatra samhitaa etc.

In Kaviindrachaaryasuuchiipatram⁸⁷ names of at least 276 Samhitaas are mentioned. There is a quite possibility that half of them are Pancaraatra texts.

Mesquita Roque's ignorance of PR texts

Thus the below given list of MS fragments available in Cleveland Public Library is enough to dismiss the claims of Mesquita Roque that "Adhyaatma, Naaraayana, adhyaatmasamhitaa, Brhatsamhitaa, Mahasamhita, Naaraayanatantra, Brhattantra, Purushottamatantra, Maayaavaibhava, Brahmatarka and Bhavishyatparvan. [In fact] it is unequivocally a quotation of passages which are absolutely unknown" (Mesquita, p. 31).

Mesquita claimed (note 17, p. 21) that Tantrabhaagavata is also unknown. But it is mentioned in Vai.s.navaagamavimarsha.h' by Prof. Vrajavallabha Dvivedi, published by Sampurnananda Sanskrit University in 1997. Other texts mentioned by Mesquita as unknown are the Pancaraatra texts even now available in MSs. Paramasamhitaa which is claimed not identified (note.

⁸⁵ Pub. by RSVP, Tirupati, 1991.

⁸⁶ Sadhu Parampurushadas, Sadhu Shrutiprakashdas, pp. 182, 2002, Ahmedabad.

⁸⁷ Kaviindracharya suuchii patram, Edited by R. Anantakrishna Shastri, GOS, 17, Baroda.

21, p. 23) is no. 36, Hayagriivasamhtaa claimed unknown by Mesquita (note 147, p. 84) is 116 and 117, Naaraayanatantra is no. 33, Purushottamatantra is no. 50 and 51, Brhatsamhitaa is 54 and 55, Maayaavaibhava is 64 in the list of available PRs in Cleveland. Similarly other texts claimed unknown by Mesquita are also PR texts. Hence Mesquita's claim totally baseless. नैष स्थाणोरपराध: यदेनमन्धो न पश्यति।

Bhavasena factor

Mesquita's claim that "Pramana doctrine of Brahmatarka...is based on the teachings of pramaaprameya of Bhavasena, a Jaina contemporary of Madhvacharya" (p. 97) is a perfect example of second hand information. The first hand informant claimee Robert Zydenbos is set aside by V N Pandurangi.⁸⁸

Daniel Smith's Pancaraatra Collection

Here is the list of MSs of Pancaraatra texts collected by Daniel Smith and deposited in Cleveland Public Library⁸⁹

1	अगस्त्यसंहिता 1 agastya-saMhitA (i)	ms. Fragments
2	अगस्त्यसंहिता 2 agastya-saMhitA (ii)	ms. Fragment
3	अनन्ताख्यसंहिता anantAkhya saMhitA	ms. Fragments
4	अनिरुद्धसंहिता aniruddha-saMhitA	printed book
5	अनिरुद्धसंहिता aniruddha-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
6	अहिर्बुध्न्यसंहिता ahirbudhnya-saMhitA	printed book
7	ईश्वरसंहिता Ishvara-saMhitA (i)	printed book
8	ईश्वरसंहिता (1)Ishvara-saMhitA (i)	printed book
9	ईश्वरसंहिता (1)Ishvara-saMhitA (i)	palm-leaf manuscript
10	ईश्वरसंहिता (2)Ishvara-saMhitA (ii)	ms. Transcription
11	ईश्वरसंहिता (3) Ishvara-saMhitA (iii?)	ms. Fragment
12	उपेन्द्रसंहिता upendra-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
13	कपिञ्जलसंहिता kapiJNjala-saMhitA	printed book
14	कपिञ्जलसंहिता kapiJNjala-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
15	काश्यपसंहिता kAshyapa-saMhitA	printed book
16	काश्यपोत्तरसंहिता kAshyapottara-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
17	खगप्रश्नसंहिता khagaprashna-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
18	खगेश्वरसंहिता khageshvara-saMhitA	ms. Fragment

⁸⁸ V N Pandurangi, A review of "Jaina background of Dvaita Vedanta" by Robert Zydenbos, Sanskrit-Vimarśah, 15th WSC Special Issue, Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan, pp. 280-318, Jan 2012. ISSN 0975.

⁸⁹ Thanks to Shrisha Rao who procured this list from that library. The Smith Agama Collection: Sanskrit Books and Manuscripts Relating to Pancaratra Studies: a descriptive catalog, by H. Daniel Smith, Foreword by Agehananda Bharati. Foreign and Comparative Studies / South Asian Special Publications 2. Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs, Syracuse University, 1978. Call Numbers Z 7835. B 8S 623, BL1135.P34, Cleveland Public Library

19	गौतमसंहिता gautama-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
20	चित्रशिखण्डिसंहिता chitrashikhaNDI-saMitA	ms. Fragments
21	जयाख्यसंहिता jayAkhya-saMhitA	printed book
22	जयोत्तरसंहिता jayottara-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
23	ज्ञानामृतसारसंहिता j~nAnAmR^itasAra-saMhitA	printed book ⁹⁰
24	दुर्वाससंहिता durvAsa-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
25	नलकूबरसंहिता nalakUbara-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
26	नारदपञ्चरात्रम् nArada-paJNcharAtram	cross-reference
27	नारदसंहिता nArada-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
28	नारदीयसंहिता nAradIya-saMhitA	printed book
29	नारदीयसंहिता nAradIya-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
30	नारदीयसंहिता nAradIya-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
31	नारसिंहसंहिता nArasiMha-saMhitA	ms fragment
32	नारायणसंहिता nArAyaNa-saMhitA	printed book
33	नारायणसंहिता nArAyaNa-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
34	पञ्चप्रश्नसंहिता paJNchaprashna-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
35	पद्मोद्भवसंहिता padmodbhava-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
36	परमसंहिता parama-saMhitA	printed book
37	परमपुरुषसंहिता paramapurushha-saMhitA	printed book
38	परमपुरुषसंहिता paramapurushha-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
39	परमागमचूडामणिः paramAgama-chUDAmaNi	photoprints
40	पराशरसंहिता parAshara-saMhitA	printed book
41	पराशरसंहिता parAshara-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
42	पाद्मसंहिता pAdma-saMhitA/pAdma tantram	printed book
43	पाद्मसंहिता pAdma-saMhitA	printed book
44	पाद्मसंहिता pAdma-saMhitA	printed book
45	पाद्मसंहिता pAdma-saMhitA	printed book
46	पञ्चरात्रप्रासादप्रसाधनम् paJNcharAtraprasAdaprasAdhanam	printed book
47	पाद्मतन्त्रम् pAdma-tantra	ms. Fragments
48	पारमेश्वरसंहिता pArameshvara-saMhitA	printed book
49	पुरुषोत्तमसंहिता purushhottama-saMhitA	printed book
50	पुरुषोत्तमसंहिता purushhottama-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
51	पौष्करसंहिता paushhkara-saMhitA	printed book
52	पौष्करसंहिता paushhkara-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
53	प्रहलादसंहिता prahlAda-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
54	बृहद्ब्रह्मसंहिता bR^ihad-brahma-saMhitA	printed book

⁹⁰ ("Sri Narada Pancaratnam" [sic]) [English Translation]

55	बृहद्ब्रहमसंहिता bR^ihad-brahma-saMhitA	printed book
56	ब्रहमसंहिता brahma-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
57	भागवतसंहिता bhAgavata-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
58	भारद्वाजसंहिता 1 bhAradvAja-saMhitA (i)	ms. transcription.
59	भारद्वाजसंहिता 2 bhAradvAja-saMhitA (ii)	printed book
60	भारद्वाजसंहिता bhAradvAja-saMhitA (ii) ("Naradapancarat	tra") printed book
61	भारद्वाजसंहिता 3bhAradvAja-saMhitA (iii)	printed book
62	भारद्वाजसंहिता 4 bhAradvAja-saMhitA (iv?)	ms. Fragment
63	भार्गवतन्त्रम् bhArgava-tantra	ms. Transcription
64	मायावैभवसंहिता mAyAvaibhava-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
65	मार्कण्डेयसंहिता mArkaNDeya-saMhitA (i)	printed book
66	मार्कण्डेयसंहिता 1 mArkaNDeya-saMhitA (i)	ms. Transcription
67	मार्कण्डेयसंहिता 2 mArkaNDeya-saMhitA (ii)	ms. Fragments
68	लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् lakShmI-tantra	printed book
69	लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् lakShmI-tantra	printed book
70	लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् lakShmI-tantra	ms. Fragment
71	लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् lakShmI-tantra	printed book [English
72	Translation]	
72 73	वराहसंहिता varAha-saMhitA	ms. fragment
73	वामनसंहिता vAmana-saMhitA	ms. fragments
75	वायुसंहिता vAyu-saMhitA	ms. fragment
76	वासिष्ठसंहिता vAsishhTha-saMhitA	ms. transcription
	वासिण्ठसंहिता vAsishhTha-saMhitA	ms. fragments
77	वासुदेवसंहिता vAsudeva-saMhitA	ms. fragments
78	विश्वसंहिता vishva-saMhitA	ms. transcription
79	विश्वामित्रसंहिता vishvAmitra-saMhitA	printed book
80	विश्वामित्रसंहिता vishvAmitra-saMhitA	ms. transcription
81	विश्वामित्रसंहिता vishvAmitra-saMhitA	ms. fragments
82	विष्णुतत्त्वसंहिता vishhNutattva-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
83	विष्णुतत्त्वसंहिता vishhNutattva-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
84		Transcription
85		Fragments
86	विष्णुतिलकसंहिता vishhNutilaka-saMhitA	printed book
87	विष्णुरहस्यसंहिता vishhNurahasya-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
88	विष्णुसंहिता vishhNu-saMhitA (i)	printed book
89	विष्णुसंहिता vishhNu-saMhitA (ii)	ms. Fragment
90	विष्णुसिद्धान्तसंहिता vishhNusiddhAnta-saMhitA	ms. Fragments

91	विष्वक्सेनसंहिता vishvaksena-saMhitA	printed book
92	विष्वक्सेनसंहिता vishvaksena-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
93	विहगेन्द्रसंहिता vihagendra-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
94	वृद्धपाद्मसंहिता vR^iddha-pAdma-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
95	व्याससंहिता vyAsa-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
96	शाण्डिल्यसंहिता shANDilya-saMhitA	printed book
97	शाण्डिल्यसंहिता shANDilya-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
98	शुकप्रश्नसंहिता shukraprashna-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
99	शेषसंहिता sheshha-saMhitA	printed book
100	शौनकसंहिता shaunaka-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
101	शौनकीयसंहिता shaunakIya-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
102	श्रीधरसंहिता shrIdhara-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
103	श्रीप्रश्नसंहिता shrIprashna-saMhitA	printed book
104	श्रीप्रश्नसंहिता shrIprashna-saMhitA	printed book
105	सनकसंहिता sanaka-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
106	सनत्संहिता Sanat-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
107	सनत्कुमारसंहिता sanatkumAra-saMhitA	printed book
108	सनत्कुमारसंहिता 2 sanatkumAra-saMhitA (ii?)	ms. Fragments
109	सनन्दसंहिता sananda-saMhitA	ms. Transcription
110	सांवर्तसंहिता sAMvarta-saMhitA	ms. Fragment
111	सान्वतसंहिता sAttvata-saMhitA	printed book
112	सान्वतसंहिता sAttvata-saMhitA	palm-leaf manuscript
113	सात्वतसंहिता sAttvata-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
114	सारसमुच्चयसंहिता sArasamuchchaya-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
115	सौपर्णप्रश्नसंहिता suparNaprashna-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
116	हयग्रीवतन्त्रम् hayagrIva-tantra	ms. Fragment
117	हयग्रीवसंहिता hayagrIva-saMhitA	printed book
118	हिरण्यगर्भसंहिता hiraNyagarbha-saMhitA	ms. Fragments
	1	

Daniel Smith's list of total Samhitaas

Here is the list of PR texts collected from different Samhitaas

¹ अगस्त्यसंहिता

- ² अङ्गिरस्तन्त्रम्
- 3 अच्युतसंहिता

- 4 अत्रिसंहिता
- 5 अधोक्षजसंहिता
- 6 अनन्तसंहिता

7	अनिरुद्धसंहिता
8	अमृतसंहिता
9	अम्बरसंहिता
10	अष्टाङ्गसंहिता
11	अहिर्बुध्न्यसंहिता
12	आग्नेयसंहिता
13	आत्रेयसंहिता
14	आनन्दतन्त्रम्
15	आरुणतन्त्रम्
16	ईशानसंहिता
17	ईश्वरसंहिता
18	उत्तरगार्ग्यसंहिता
19	उत्तरपूर्वकसंहिता
20	उत्तरविज्ञानसंहिता
21	उदङ्कसंहिता
22	उपेन्द्रसंहिता
23	उमामहेश्वरसंहिता
24	उशनःसंहिता
25	
26	ऐरसंहिता
27	औपगायनसंहिता
28	औपेन्द्रसंहिता
29	और्वपञ्चरात्रसंहिता
30	औशनतन्त्रम्
31	कपिञ्जलसंहिता
32	कल्किराघवसंहिता
33	काण्वसंहिता
34	कात्यायनीयसंहिता
35	कापिलसंहिता
36	कामतन्त्रम
37	कालिकिसंहिता
38	काश्यपसंहिता
39	कुमुदसंहिता
40	<u>क</u> ुशलसंहिता
41	कूर्मसंहिता कूर्मसंहिता
42	कृष्णसंहिता
43	केशवतन्त्रम्
44	कौबेरसंहिता
45	कौमारसंहिता
46	क्रत्तन्त्रम्
47	क्रकेशसंहिता
48	गङ्गासंहिता
49	गणसंहिता
50	गणेशसंहिता
51	गजेन्द्रसंहिता
52	गरुडसंहिता
53	गान्धर्वसंहिता
54	

54 गान्धर्वायणसंहिता

55 गार्ग्यतन्त्रम् 56 गार्ग्यगालवतन्त्रम् 57 गोविन्दसंहिता 58 गौतमसंहिता 59 गौतमीयसंहिता 60 चतुर्मूर्तिसंहिता 61 चान्द्रमसंहिता 62 चित्रशिखण्डिसंहिता 63 जनार्दनसंहिता 64 जयसंहिता 65 जयोत्तरसंहिता 66 जाबालसंहिता 67 जामदग्न्यसंहिता 68 जैमिनिसंहिता 69 ज्ञानसंहिता 70 ज्ञानार्णवसंहिता 71 ज्ञानसागरसंहिता 72 तत्त्वसागरसंहिता 73 तन्त्रसागरसंहिता 74 तार्क्ष्यसंहिता 75 तैजोद्रविणसंहिता 76 त्रिविक्रमसंहिता 77 त्रैलोक्यमोहनसंहिता 78 त्रैलोक्यविजयसंहिता 79 दक्षसंहिता 80 दत्तात्रेयसंहिता 81 दधीचिसंहिता 82 दशोत्तरसंहिता 83 दामोदरीयतन्त्रम् 84 दुर्गातन्त्रम् 85 देवलसंहिता 86 द्राविणसंहिता 87 धानञ्जयसंहिता 88 धानदीयसंहिता 89 धुवतन्त्रम् 90 नन्दाख्यसंहिता 91 नलकूबरसंहिता 92 नारदसंहिता 93 नारदीयसंहिता 94 नारदोत्तरविज्ञानसंहिता 95 नारसिंहसंहिता 96 नारसिंहाख्यतन्त्रम् 97 नारायणतन्त्रम् 98 नारायणसंहिता 99 नारायणीयतन्त्रम् 100 नृकेसरिसंहिता 101 नैर्ऋतसंहिता 102 पञ्चतत्त्वसंहिता

	୳୳ଡ଼୲୲୳ଡ଼୳୳୲ୄୄ୲ଽ୷୲
106	पद्मोद्भवसंहिता
107	परमसंहिता
108	पर(म)पुरुषसंहिता
109	पवनसंहिता
110	पादमसंहिता
111	
112	पारमेश्वरसंहिता
113	पारमैश्वर्यसंहिता
114	पाराशर्यसंहिता
115	पारिषत्संहिता
116	पार्वतसंहिता
117	पार्षसंहिता
118	पावकसंहिता
119	पावनसंहिता
120	पिप्पलसंहिता
121	पुण्डरीकाक्षसंहिता
122	परुषसंहिता
123	पुरुषोत्तमसंहिता
124	- पुलस्त्यसंहिता
125	पुलकतन्त्रम्
126	- पुष्कलसंहिता
127	ू पुष्टितन्त्रम्
128	पैङ्गलसंहिता
129	पैप्पलसंहिता
130	पौलस्त्यसंहिता
131	पौष्करसंहिता
132	प्रद्युम्नसंहिता
133	प्रहलादसंहिता
134	प्राचेतससंहिता
135	बलभद्रसंहिता
136	बार्हरूपत्यसंहिता
137	बोधायनतन्त्रम्
138	ब्रहमसंहिता
139	ब्रहमाण्डसंहिता
140	ब्रहमनारदसंहिता
141	ब्रहमसिद्धान्तसंहिता
142	भागवतसंहिता
143	भारद्वाजसंहिता
144	भार्गवसंहिता
145	भूमिसंहिता
146	मुद्गलसंहिता
147	मधुच्छन्दससंहिता
148	मधुसूदनसंहिता
149	मत्स्यसंहिता
150	मन्दिरसंहिता

103

104

105

पञ्चप्रश्नसंहिता

पद्मनाभसंहिता

पद्मोद्भवसंहिता

150 मन्दिरसंहिता 152 मानवसंहिता 153 महातन्त्रम् 154 महाज्ञानसंहिता 155 महापुरुषसंहिता 156 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता 157 महासनत्कुमारसंहिता 158 महीतन्त्रम् 159 महीप्रश्नतन्त्रम् 160 माहेन्द्रतन्त्रम् 161 माधवसंहिता 162 मायातन्त्रम् 163 मायावैभवसंहिता 164 मायावैभवविन्यासः 165 मारीय(च)संहिता 166 मार्कण्डेयसंहिता 167 मिहिरसंहिता 168 मुकुन्दसंहिता 169 मूलसंहिता 170 मेदिनीपतिसंहिता 171 मेरुसंहिता 172 मेरुगङ्गासंहिता मैत्रेयसंहिता 173 174 मौद्गल्यसंहिता 175 योगसंहिता 176 योगहृदयसंहिता 177 यज्ञमूर्तिसंहिता 178 याज्ञवल्क्यसंहिता 179 याम्यसंहिता 180 राघवसंहिता 181 रुद्रसंहिता 182 रोमशसंहिता 183 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 184 लक्ष्मीतिलकम् 185 लक्ष्मीनारायणतन्त्रम् 186 लक्ष्मीपतिमहातन्त्रम् 187 लाङ्गलसंहिता 188 लैङ्गलसंहिता 189 वराहप्राणसंहिता 190 वराहमिहिरसंहिता 191 अ(व)चरलस्यसंहिता 192 वसुसंहिता 193 वागीशसंहिता 194 वामदेवसंहिता 195 वामनसंहिता 196 वायुतन्त्रम् 197 वाराहसंहिता 198 वारुणसंहिता

151

मनुसंहिता

	୳୲ଡ଼୶୲୲ଡ଼୶୲ୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄୄ
200	वासिष्ठसंहिता
201	वास्देवसंहिता
202	विरिञ्चसंहिता
203	विश्वसंहिता
204	विश्वामित्रसंहिता
205	विष्णुतन्त्रम्
206	विष्णुतत्त्वसंहिता
207	विष्णुतिलकसंहिता
208	विष्णुपूर्वसंहिता
209	विष्णुयोगमहातन्त्रम्
210	विष्णुरहस्यसंहिता
211	विष्णुवैभविकसंहिता
212	उ विष्णुसंभवसंहिता
213	विष्णुसद्भावसंहिता
214	विष्णुसंहिता
215	ु विष्णुसारतन्त्रम्
216	विष्णुसंहिता
217	वेष्णुयामलसंहिता
218	विष्वक्सेनसंहिता
219	विहगेन्द्रसंहिता
220	वीरमाङ्गल्यसंहिता
221	वैकुण्ठसंहिता
222	वैखानससंहिता
223	वैजयन्तसंहिता
224	वैनतेयसंहिता
225	वैभवतन्त्रम्
226	वैहस्यसंहिता
227	वैश्वानरीयसंहिता
228	वैहायससंहिता
229	व्याससंहिता
230	शक्रसंहिता
231	शतसाहस्रिकसंहिता
232	शम्बरसंहिता
233	शर्वसंहिता
234	शाकलसंहिता
235	शाकटायनसंहिता
236	शाण्डिल्यतन्त्रम्
237	शातातपसंहिता
238	शान्तितन्त्रम्
239	शाबरसंहिता
240	शार्वर्यसंहिता
241	शाश्वतसंहिता
242	शुकप्रश्नसंहिता .~
243	शुक्रसंहिता
244	शुलकसंहिता

वाल्मीकिसंहिता

245	शैवसंहिता
246	शौनकसंहिता
247	श्वेतकेतुमहातन्त्रम्
248	श्रीतन्त्रम्
249	श्रीकालपरासंहिता
250	श्रीकरसंहिता
251	श्रीकृष्णसंहिता
252	श्रीधरीयसंहिता
253	श्रीनिवासमहातन्त्रम्
254	श्रीप्रश्नसंहिता
255	श्रीवत्ससंहिता
256	श्रीवल्लभमहातन्त्रम्
257	संवर्तकसंहिता
258	संकर्षणसंहिता
259	संकर्षणसंहिता
260	सत्यसंहिता
261	सत्योक्तसंहिता
262	सत्याविद्योद्रवणम्
263	सत्त्वसंहिता
264	सनकसंहिता
265	सनत्स्कन्दसंहिता
266	सनत्कुमारसंहिता
267	सनन्दन
268	संमोहनसंहिता
269	सर्वमङ्गलतन्त्रम्
270	सांख्यतन्त्रम्
271	सात्वतसंहिता
272	सुबोधिकसंहिता
273	सूर्य
274	सोमतन्त्रम्
275	सोमवन्दवीसंहिता
276	सौम्यसंहिता
277	सौरसंहिता
278	स्कान्दतन्त्रम्
279	स्वायंभुवसंहिता
280	स्वायंभुवतन्त्रम्
281	हंसपरमेश्वरसंहिता
282	हयग्रीवसंहिता
283	हयशीर्षतन्त्रम्
284	हरिसंहिता
285	हरिमेखलसंहिता
286	हारीतसंहिता
287	हृषीकेशसंहिता
288	हैरण्यसंहिता
289	हैरण्यगर्भसंहिता
290	हैरण्यगर्भसंहिता

List of Samhitaas quoted by Vedaantadeshika Apart from fragments of Muulashruti, many a number of PR texts are quoted by

Vedanatadeshika in his four Rakshagranthas.

Page	Work quoted
no.	1
	सच्चारित्ररक्षातः ⁹¹
137	पारमेश्वरसंहिता
138	अहिर्बुध्न्यसंहिता
	वाशिष्ठसंहिता
139	विष्णुतत्त्वम्
	कालोत्तरसंहिता
	विष्णुमन्दिरसंहिता
	आनन्दाख्यसंहिता
	विष्णुतन्त्रम्
152	पौष्करसंहिता
	विष्णुधर्म (शौनक)
153	सात्वतसंहिता
162	श्रीशास्त्रम्
164	शाण्डिल्यसंहिता
170	पराशरसंहिता
	नारदीयपद्धतिः
171	सनत्कुमारसंहिता
	लैङ्गम्
182	नारदीयसंहिता
184	पारमेष्ठ्यसंहिता
186	पाराशर्यसंहिता
	भार्गव(तन्त्रम्)
188	ब्रहमरात्रम्
189	अष्टाक्षरब्रहमविद्या
	(नारदप्रोक्ता)
	मार्कण्डेय
192	कुण्डिनप्रोक्तकृष्णमन्त्रक
	ल्पः
194	पाद्मसंहिता

201 भारद्वाजसंहिता 205 वाराहे (पञ्चरात्रे) 221 नारसिंहकल्पपरिच्छेदः 224 विष्णुकल्पः (बोधायनीयः)
221 नारसिंहकल्पपरिच्छेदः 224 विष्णुकल्पः (बोधायनीयः)
224 विष्णुकल्पः (बोधायनीयः)
224
²²⁶ इन्द्रतन्त्रम्
²²⁸ ईश्वरसंहिता
223 चित्रशिखण्डिशास्त्रम्
236 पाद्मोत्तरसंहिता
अत्रिसंहिता (वैखानस)
239 जयाख्यसंहिता
²⁵⁶ नारदीयसंग्रहः
पञ्चरात्ररक्षातः
²⁸⁵ हयग्रीवसंहिता
²⁹⁵ क्रियाधिकारः (भृगुप्रोक्तः)
²⁹⁷ वैखानसम्
²⁹⁷ वैखानसम् तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः
पखागराग्
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः ³⁰³ श्रीकरसंहिता
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता विक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम्
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम्
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 13 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 13 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता चतुःश्लोकीभाष्यात् ⁹²
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 13 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता चतुःश्लोकीभाष्यात् ⁹² 5 विष्णुवैभवाधिकारः
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 13 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता चतुःश्लोकीभाष्यात् ⁹² 5 विष्णुवैभवाधिकारः विष्णुस्मृतिः
तन्त्रसारसमुच्चयः 303 श्रीकरसंहिता 336 पूर्वश्रवः 339 संकर्षणसंहिता निक्षेपरक्षातः 51 सात्यकितन्त्रम् 55 लक्ष्मीतन्त्रम् 13 महालक्ष्मीसंहिता चतुःश्लोकीभाष्यात् ⁹² 5 विष्णुत्वभवाधिकारः विष्णुस्मृतिः विष्णुस्मृतिः 6 गायत्रीकल्पाः

⁹¹ All three Rakshworks are in one book. Rakshagranthah, Ed. T. Veeraraghavacharya, Vedantadeshika seventh centinery Trust Chennai ⁹² Catuhshlokiibhaashyam, Ed. V. Shrivatsankacharya, Vedantadeshika seventh centinery Trust Chennai

	शौनकसंहिता
	शतमखसंहिता
	धानदसंहिता
34	विष्णुधर्मोत्तरम्
45	शौनकीयकल्पः
47	सावित्रीकल्पाः
54	परमसंहिता

75	विष्वक्सेनसंहिता
89	बलपौष्करम्
	सौमन्तवम्
92	हिरण्यगर्भसंहिता
160	विहगेश्वरसंहिता

Conclusion

Thus, it is clear that PRs are given an important place in Madhvacharya's teachings. However PRs are ignored for the centuries in Maadhva traditional studies as philosophical sources. Therefore, without any delay, the study of PRs should be taken by research institutions and young scholars of our system should be encouraged to engage in the rigorous studies of PR literature.

1	GB	aiitablaa aya
-		giitabhaa.sya
2	BSB	brahmasuutrabhaa.sya
3	BTN	bhaagavatataatparyanirnaya
4	DUB	dasopanishadbhaa.sya
5	BT	bhaaratataatparya
6	GT	geetaataatparya
7	NS	nakhastuti
8	YB	yamakabharata
9	DS	dvaadashastotra
10	TSS	Tantrasaarasangraha
11	SAS	sadaacarasm.rti
12	DP	dashaprakarana
13	RB	rgbhaa.sya
14	YPK	yatipranavakalpa
15	JN	jayantiinirnaya
16	AV	anuvyaakhyaana
17	NV	nyaayavivarana
	VS	V.Srivatsankacharya
	S	Daniel Smith
	0	F.O.Schrader
	CUB	Chaandogyopani.sadbhaa.sya
	CU	Chaandogyopani.sad
	BAUB	B.rhadaaranyakopani.sadbhaa.sya
	BAU	B.rhadaaranyakopani.sad
	MUB	Ma.duukopani.sadbhaa.sya

Abbreviations

AiUB	Aitareyoopani.sadbhaa.sya
IIUB	Ii.saavaasyopani.sadbhaa.sya
AUB	Aatharvanopani.sadbhaa.sya
KUB	Kaa.thkopani.sadbhaa.sya
VD	Vedantadeshika
SV	Sreenivasa Varakhedi
KKAV	KKA Venkatachary
M	Mahvacharya
AD	Appayya DiXita
U	Utpala Vaishnava
LIKA	Little is known about
AIU	Aitareyopani.sad
PRW	Pancaraatra work
N	Narayana
MB	Mahaabhaarata
В	Bhaagavata
CSB	Catushshlokiibhaa.sya of VD
SRB	Stotraratnabhaa.sya of VD